Frequency-domain birefringence measurement of biological binding to magnetic nanoparticles
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A B S T R A C T

Optical detection of the frequency-dependent magnetic relaxation signal is used to monitor the binding of biological molecules to magnetic nanoparticles in a ferrofluid. Biological binding reactions cause changes in the magnetic relaxation signal due to an increase in the average hydrodynamic diameter of the nanoparticles. To allow the relaxation signal to be detected in dilute ferrofluids, measurements are made using a balanced photodetector, resulting in a $25 \mu \text{V}/\sqrt{\text{Hz}}$ noise floor, within 50% of the theoretical limit imposed by photon shot noise. Measurements of a ferrofluid composed of magnetite nanoparticles coated with anti-IgG antibodies show that the average hydrodynamic diameter increases from 115.2 to 125.4 nm after reaction with IgG.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Ferrofluids are stable colloids of magnetic nanoparticles suspended in a non-magnetic fluid such as oil or water [1]. Biocompatible ferrofluids have wide-ranging applications in biology and medicine including cell sorting, targeted drug delivery, and as contrast agents for magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) [2]. Since ferrofluids may be easily manipulated using magnetic force, there is growing interest in employing them for various applications within microfluidic lab-on-a-chip devices [3,4]. One such application is microfluidic immunoassays in which magnetic nanoparticles functionalized with an appropriate antibody are used as labels to detect antigen–antibody binding.

Several magnetically labeled immunoassays have recently been demonstrated. These can be generally categorized as being either solid-phase or solution-phase assays. In a solid-phase assay, capture antibodies are immobilized onto a solid support, such as the surface of a magnetic sensor array, and the assay is performed by detecting the signature of magnetic material bound to the antibodies [5,6]. In contrast, solution-phase assays detect changes in the magnetic relaxation dynamics of a ferrofluid when antibody–antigen binding occurs [7–9]. The change in relaxation signal is induced by the larger hydrodynamic size of the particles bound to antigen molecules. Solution-phase assays have several potential advantages relative to solid-phase assays including: shorter incubation time due to reduced diffusion length, simpler assay procedures resulting from the elimination of washing steps used to separate bound and unbound magnetic labels, and lower-cost assay chips since there is no need to selectively functionalize the interior surface of the chip with capture antibodies.

The relaxation signal of a ferrofluid is produced by an ensemble of particles of various sizes. Detecting the relaxation signal of a small number of antigen–particle complexes in the presence of a large number of unbound particles is challenging. Therefore, the detection of small quantities of antigen molecules requires the use of a ferrofluid with a very low concentration of magnetic material. In turn, the low ferrofluid concentration necessitates an extremely sensitive detection method. Optical measurements based on magnetic field-induced birefringence provide a low-noise means of measuring relaxation in ferrofluids [10]. Particle sizing based on birefringence has been shown to compare well with sizing based on gel filtration [11], and a birefringence-based immunoassay [12] has been demonstrated to allow the detection of 0.2 ng/ml of human immunoglobulin G (hIgG).

Here we describe a magnetically labeled immunoassay based on a low-noise optical measurement system. The measurement system incorporates a novel differential measurement scheme that cancels laser intensity noise, reducing the detection limit by more than an order of magnitude. Our approach employs a short 1 mm optical path length and is amenable to further miniaturization using low-cost passive microfluidic measurement cells.

2. Background

When a DC magnetic field is applied to a ferrofluid, each magnetic particle in the fluid experiences a torque, causing the
particle’s magnetization to align towards the field axis. As a result, the ferrofluid behaves like a birefringent crystal with different refractive indices parallel to and perpendicular to the magnetic field. Assuming a monodisperse ferrofluid composed of nanoparticles with magnetic core diameter $d_m$, the magnetic energy of each nanoparticle is given by $E_{mag} = \mu_0 M_d VH$, where $\mu_0$ is the permeability, $M_d$ is the magnetization, $H$ is the applied magnetic field, and $V = ad_m^2/6$ is the volume of each magnetic core. The birefringence $\Delta n$ is a function of the ratio of the magnetic energy to the thermal energy $\Delta n = E_{mag}/k_B T$, where $E_{mag} = \mu_0 H$. $k_B$ is Boltzmann’s constant, and $T$ is the temperature. Using this parameter, the birefringence is given by

$$\Delta n = \Phi \Delta n_{0} [1 - 3L(\lambda/\zeta)],$$

where $L(\lambda)$ is the first Langevin function, $\Phi$ is the volume fraction of magnetic material in the ferrofluid, and $\Delta n_{0}$ is the intrinsic birefringence of each nanoparticle [10]. The magnetic core diameter can be estimated by measuring the static birefringence as a function of magnetic field and fitting with Eq. (1). Note that the magnitude of the birefringence signal depends strongly on $H$0. Since the DC light intensity is nearly zero, the noise is dominated by electronic and dark-current noise.

In the low-field limit, when $\lambda < 1$, $\Delta n$ is proportional to $H^2$ so a 10 x increase in field strength results in 100 x increase in the birefringence signal.

Dynamic birefringence measurements allow the nanoparticle hydrodynamic diameter to be estimated. When the field is extinguished, the magnetization $m(t)$ and birefringence $\Delta n(t)$ of the ferrofluid decay exponentially according to Debye’s law and Perrin’s law, respectively [13]. The magnetic core diameter can be accurately measured by subtracting the magnetic core diameter from the magnetic field decay measured using the static birefringence measurements.

Field-induced birefringence creates a phase difference between light beams polarized parallel and perpendicular to the magnetic field as they pass through the sample. The phase difference is given by $\phi = (2\pi L/\lambda) \Delta n$, where $\lambda$ is the optical wavelength and $L$ is the thickness of the sample. One approach has been used to calculate the phase difference [14] to detect this phase difference is illustrated in Fig. 1(a). The sample is positioned between a crossed polarizer–analyzer pair and the input intensity signal is given by $I_{in} = I_0 \sin^2(\phi/2)$, where $I_0$ is the input optical intensity. When an AC magnetic field $H(t) = H_0 \sin \omega t$ is applied to the sample, the intensity signal at frequency $2\omega$ has an RMS amplitude $I(2\omega) = I_0 \phi_0^2 / (8 \cdot 2)$, where $\phi_0$ is the phase difference produced at $H_0$. Since the DC light intensity is nearly zero, the noise is dominated by electronic and dark-current noise in the photodetector. The minimum detectable phase difference, resulting in a 0 dB signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) in a given input frequency $f$, is given by

$$\Delta n^*(f) = \frac{\Delta n \Delta f \phi_0}{1 + (2\pi f \tau_n)^2},$$

where $\Delta n$ is the static birefringence and $\tau_n$ is the relaxation time constant. The peak value of $\Delta n^*(f)$ occurs at $f_0 = 1/(2\pi \tau_n)$, allowing $\tau_n$ to be identified and the average nanoparticle diameter to be inferred.

3. Materials and methods

3.1. Birefringence measurements

A block diagram of the experimental setup using the differential measurement scheme. A beam splitter (B/S) splits the polarized laser beam into measurement beams which are detected using a balanced photodetector.

A low-noise 5 mW diode laser (Coherent Lab Laser ULN) with 635 nm output wavelength is attenuated and split into two 1 mW beams. The first beam serves as a reference, while the second beam is the signal beam that passes through the ferrofluid sample. Both beams are detected with a balanced photodetector (New Focus Nirvana 2007) with $R = 0.42$ A/W at $\lambda = 635$ nm and a measurement bandwidth $B$, is given by $\phi_0 = \sqrt{(8\pi^2 NEP \cdot B)/I_0}$, where NEP is the noise-equivalent power of the photodetector. Assuming $I_0 = 1$ mW and NEP = 3 pW/\sqrt{Hz}, the minimum detectable phase difference in a 1 Hz bandwidth is $1.84 \times 10^{-6}$ rad.

An alternative configuration with an additional quarter wave plate is illustrated in Fig. 1(b). The output intensity signal is $I/I_0 = \frac{1}{4} (1 + \sin \phi)$. Since the field-induced birefringence is positive regardless of the polarity of the field, the applied field is varied from 0 to $H_0$ to maintain linearity, $H(t) = H_0 \sin (1 + \sin \omega t)$, and the intensity signal at frequency $\omega$ has an RMS amplitude $I(\omega) = I_0 \phi_0 / (4 \cdot 2)$, where $\phi_0$ is the phase difference produced at $H_0$. In comparison to the polarizer–analyzer configuration described above, this second configuration has both a larger signal level and a much higher noise floor due to presence of a large DC intensity term, which introduces laser intensity noise and increases the relative contribution of shot noise. Laser intensity noise can be cancelled by splitting the laser beam into signal and reference beams and detecting the two beams with a balanced photo-receiver [15]. Assuming perfect cancellation of the laser noise, the noise floor is determined by the combined shot noise of the two photodetectors, $I_{in} = \sqrt{4q_0 \phi_0}$, where $q$ is the electron charge, and $I_{phot}$ is the photocurrent on each detector. The minimum detectable phase difference is then given by $\phi_0 = B/q_0 R_0$, where $R$ denotes the responsivity of the photodetector. Assuming $I_0 = 1$ mW and $R = 0.42$ A/W, the minimum detectable phase difference in a 1 Hz bandwidth is $1.6 \times 10^{-7}$ rad, roughly three orders of magnitude smaller than the value calculated for a crossed polarizer–analyzer configuration.

A block diagram of the experimental setup is shown in Fig. 2. A low-noise 5 mW diode laser (Coherent Lab Laser ULN) with 635 nm output wavelength is attenuated and split into two 1 mW beams. The first beam serves as a reference, while the second beam is the signal beam that passes through the ferrofluid sample. Both beams are detected with a balanced photodetector (New Focus Nirvana 2007) with $R = 0.42$ A/W at $\lambda = 635$ nm and a...
transimpedance gain of 1 MΩ. Measured noise spectra for our setup comparing differential and single-beam measurements along with the dark-photodiode noise level are shown in Fig. 3. The single-beam measurement noise is 300 μV/√Hz at f = 1 kHz, and is dominated by laser intensity noise fluctuations. In balanced photoreceiver mode, the noise floor is 25 μV/√Hz at f = 1 kHz. This compares well to the calculated shot noise limit of 16 μV/√Hz. The dark noise level of 1.3 μV/√Hz agrees well with the specified NEP (3 pW/√Hz) and represents the noise floor that would be present for a dark background measurement like the crossed polarizer–analyzer configuration described above.

3.2. Antibody-functionalized ferrofluid

Binding experiments were performed using an antibody-functionalized ferrofluid prepared from carboxylated magnetite (Fe₃O₄) nanoparticles. A schematic of the nanoparticle diameter at various phases in the binding experiment is presented in Fig. 4. Ferrofluid samples were prepared by suspending 0.1 mg of nanoparticles in 500 μl of MES buffer (pH 5.92), resulting in Φ = 4 × 10⁻⁵ magnetite by volume. The ferrofluid solution was mixed with 100 μg of 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethyl aminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC) in preparation for coupling with avidin. After 2 h, 17.5 μg of avidin was mixed into the ferrofluid solution and the mixture was incubated at 4 °C overnight. Antibody-functionalized nanoparticles were synthesized by introducing 116 μg of biotinylated goat anti-rabbit immunoglobulin-G (IgG) antibodies (Invitrogen) to the solution. The samples were left to incubate for 4 h at 4 °C. Finally, antigen binding experiments were conducted with rabbit IgG antigen with birefringence measurements performed following 4 h of incubation. All birefringence measurements were performed following each of the incubation steps without any additional centrifugation or magnetic separation procedures to remove excess protein in solution.

4. Results

Preliminary experiments were performed using a commercial aqueous ferrofluid based on dextran-stabilized magnetite (Fe₃O₄) nanoparticles (Liquids Research Ltd, UK). Dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurements, shown in Fig. 5, indicate a log-normal size distribution with an average hydrodynamic diameter of dₕ = 98 nm. The temperature dependence of magnetization of a dried ferrofluid sample after zero-field cooling (ZFC) and field cooling (FC) was measured in a 100 Oe field using a quantum design superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer. The results of this measurement, shown in Fig. 6, indicate a blocking temperature of Tₛ = 45 K. The blocking temperature can be used to estimate the average magnetic diameter using the relationship τ/τ₀ = exp(Kᵥ/VkₜT), where τ is the measurement interval (30 s), τ₀ is a constant (10⁻⁸ s), V is the magnetic volume, and Kᵥ is the anisotropy energy density. Bulk magnetite with Kᵥ = 2 × 10⁹ erg/cm³ corresponds to an average magnetic diameter of dₘ = 11 nm. Surface effects increase the effective anisotropy energy density in nanoparticles, experimental measurements [16] suggest Kᵥ = 3 × 10⁹ erg/cm² for magnetite nanoparticles in this size range, a value that would yield an average core diameter of dₘ = 10 nm. Static birefringence measurements were performed to identify the magnetic core diameter and intrinsic birefringence δn₀. Measurements of a ferrofluid containing a magnetite concentration of 0.2 mg/ml are shown in Fig. 7. Fitting the measured data with the analytical birefringence model (1) using Mₛ = 4.464 A/m for Fe₃O₄, we obtained dₘ = 10.8 nm and δn₀ = 0.11. The magnetic diameter is within the range expected from the magnetization

![Fig. 3](image-url) Photodetector output voltage noise for dark, single-beam and dual-beam measurements.

![Fig. 4](image-url) Schematic representation of a magnetite nanoparticle throughout the four steps of the biological binding process. The COOH-terminated Fe₃O₄ nanoparticle (a) is coated with avidin (b), then functionalized with a biotinylated antibody (c), and is finally reacted with the antigen molecule (d).

![Fig. 5](image-url) Hydrodynamic diameter of dextran-stabilized ferrofluid measured using DLS. The average hydrodynamic diameter is dₕ = 98 nm.
measurements, while the extrapolated value for $d_{n0}$ agrees with published data for particles of this size [10] and is consistent with form birefringence for prolate spheroids [17].

Dynamic birefringence measurements were performed at $H_0 = 4.8 \text{kA/m (} = 60 \text{Oe)}$. The real and imaginary components of the birefringence frequency response are shown in Fig. 8. Fitting the measured frequency response with the first order model from Eq. (2) yields a relaxation time constant of $t_n = 138 \mu s$, corresponding to an average hydrodynamic diameter of $d_h = 103 \text{ nm}$, approximately 5\% larger than the average diameter measured through DLS.

Biological binding experiments were performed on the antibody-functionalized Fe$_3$O$_4$ ferrofluid using dynamic birefringence measurements to monitor the average nanoparticle diameter following four reaction steps: first, using a suspension of bare (COOH-terminated) nanoparticles; second, following avidin coating; third, following reaction with the biotinylated antibody; and finally, after reaction with the IgG antigen. The imaginary component of the birefringence frequency response after each reaction is shown in Fig. 9. The peak birefringence frequency ($f_p$), average nanoparticle diameter ($d_h$), and change in diameter ($D_d$) following each biological binding reaction are summarized in Table 1. The measured nanoparticle diameter increases by 7.8 nm following avidin coating, an increase that is consistent with the addition of a monolayer of avidin, which has a $C_24^4$ nm diameter [18]. Similarly, the diameter increases by 14.2 nm following functionalization with anti-IgG antibodies and by 10.2 nm following incubation with IgG. The measured increase in diameter is in reasonable agreement with the known hydrodynamic diameter of IgG, which is $\sim 6 \text{ nm}$ [19].
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction step</th>
<th>$f_0$ (Hz)</th>
<th>$d_0$ (nm)</th>
<th>$\Delta d_0$ (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Bare Fe$_3$O$_4$</td>
<td>1582</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Avidin coated</td>
<td>1242</td>
<td>100.4</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Anti-IgG functionalized</td>
<td>822</td>
<td>115.2</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. IgG reaction</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>125.4</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Discussion

To detect a small concentration of antigen molecules requires a very dilute ferrofluid since a significant fraction of the nanoparticles must form complexes with the antigen molecules. In the experiments presented here, measurements were performed on samples with a magnetite concentration of $\Phi = 40$ ppm by volume, with a birefringence of $\Delta n = 3 \times 10^{-8}$ resulting at $H_0 = 4.8$ kA/m. With a measurement wavelength of $\lambda = 635$ nm and a 1 mm thick ferrofluid sample, the birefringence results in a phase difference of $\varphi_0 = 3 \times 10^{-6}$ rad. To increase measurement speed, a 100 ms integration time was used at each frequency point, resulting in an effective bandwidth of 15.7 Hz. Based on the measured $25\sqrt{V/Hz}$ noise floor of the balanced photodetector, the minimum detectable phase difference is $\varphi_0 = 1.3 \times 10^{-6}$ rad, suggesting that measurements should be possible at antigen concentrations on the order of 0.1 ppm. The measurement speed is sufficiently fast to allow continuous monitoring of the antibody-antigen binding reaction throughout the incubation period. Currently, a complete frequency response measurement is collected in 53 s. Based on this, up to 271 measurements of the nanoparticle diameter can be performed over the course of a 4-h incubation. Using such a continuous-monitoring scheme, the kinetics of antibody-antigen binding can be measured.

Our frequency response analysis assumes a first order system in which a single time constant dominates. Thus, an increase in the average particle diameter only shifts the peak of the imaginary component without changing the width of this peak. However, the average particle diameter only shifts the peak of the imaginary component with a significant increase in the distribution of particle sizes. The increased size distribution may reflect the formation of dimers or chains of nanoparticles. More detailed analysis may allow the size distribution to be extracted from the frequency response data.

6. Conclusion

Dynamic birefringence measurements of antibody-functionalized ferrofluids provide a means to monitor biological binding reactions. The average hydrodynamic diameter estimated from these measurements compares well to diameter measurements made with DLS. Additionally, the increase in average hydrodynamic diameter after each binding reaction is consistent with the addition of a monolayer of molecules. The fact that birefringence measurements can be performed on very dilute ferrofluids suggests that this technique may be suitable for detecting low concentrations of antigen molecules. In addition, measurements may be made on extremely small sample volumes by reducing the diameter of the measurement beam, making this approach compatible with future lab-on-a-chip immunoassay devices.
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