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ABSTRACT

We present several important advances on the flight-control microsystem for a cyborg beetle presented recently [1]. Giant beetles (*Mecynorhina torquata*) were employed to achieve larger payloads than the previously used *Cotinis texana* beetles. A new turning control method based on direct neural stimulation of the optic lobes (compound eyes) was achieved as well as initiation, cessation and elevation controls. A new variant of the initiation/cessation stimuli is also presented. Additionally, we report that operational neural stimulators and an entire working microcontroller were successfully implanted into *Japanese rhinoceros* beetles at the pupal stage; these beetles subsequently emerged into adults.

INTRODUCTION

Micro air vehicles (MAV’s) have been the subject of intense research and development. Despite major advances, MAV’s are still limited in size, payload, flight range and performance [2]. Various species of insects, among flies (Diptera), moths (Lepidoptera), dragonflies (Odonata) and beetles (Coleoptera) have unparalleled flight performance and increasingly understood muscular and nervous systems [3]. Additionally, insects undergoing complete metamorphosis (i.e. forming pupae) are very amenable to implantation and internal manipulation during pupation [1,4,5]. In this study, we extend our previous work to the *Mecynorhina torquata*, which has 7 – 10 g body weight and 1.4 – 2.0 g payload capacity.

EXPERIMENTAL

The complete microsystem is shown in Fig. 1. The neural stimulation system consisted of three neural wire electrodes: one dorsally implanted at the pupal stage between compound eyes into the insect brain and the other two were implanted either a) into the optic lobes or b) under the basalar flight muscles. A fourth wire inserted into dorsal metathorax was used as a counter electrode. As with our previous study, the neural stimulators were driven by a TI MSP430 microcontroller (some characterization used a function generator); flight command sequences were stored in the 2 K B memory (~1000 flight commands with current program). The microcontroller was powered by a microbattery which was dorsally mounted on the beetle platform. Beetles were attached on custom gimbals to measure flight responses; the torsional stiffness constant of the gimbal was 3.32 x 10⁻⁵ Nm/rad.

Prior to pupation, *Mecynorhina* makes and encases itself inside a pupal chamber. For the implantation of the neural wire electrode, first the pupal chamber was split and the electrode was inserted into the brain (Fig. 2(a)). The split pupal chambers were then glued together with a starch paste (Fig. 2(b)). For the implantation of the entire flight control system, the neural wire electrodes were soldered directly onto the microcontroller pins and all surfaces were coated with a clear nail polish. The dorsal thorax of a *Japanese rhinoceros* beetle was slit, and the assembly was inserted 2 weeks into the 3 week pupation period.

![Figure 1: Cyborg beetle microsystem consisted of microbattery (a, rechargeable lithium ion coin battery, Panasonic, ML614, 3 V, 160 mg, Ø6.8 mm x 1.4 mm, 3.4 mAh), microcontroller (b, Texas Instruments, MSP430F2012IPWR, 63 mg, 5.0 mm x 4.5 mm x 1.0 mm), stimulating electrodes implanted into basalar flight muscle (c), metathorax (d), brain (e), and beetle platform of *Mecynorhina torquata* (7 - 10 g, 6 - 8 cm).](image1)

![Figure 2: Implantation of the neural wire electrode into a pupa of *Mecynorhina torquata*. (a) the electrode was inserted into the brain after splitting the pupal chamber. (b) the split chambers were glued together with a starch paste.](image2)

![Figure 3: Initiation and cessation control of beetle flight (front views). (a) indicates typical sequential flow of pulse trains. Neural stimulation pulse trains of 1.6 Vpp and 40 Hz to the beetle brain started wingbeat following a 0.9 sec induction time (b-c) and 2 V single pulse stopped the flight without delay of response (c-d).](image3)
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The same on/off, throttling and turning behavior presented in [1] can be extended to other beetle systems with much larger payloads (payloads: Cotinis texana 0.2 - 0.4 g, Mecynorhina torquata 1.4 - 2.0 g, Japanese rhinoceros 1.4 - 1.8 g). Figure 3 shows the initiation and cessation controls. When appropriate voltage (1.6 Vp-p on average) pulse trains at 40 – 110 Hz were applied between the right and left optic lobes, the beetle began beating wings following a short period (0.9 sec on average). A single pulse of higher magnitude voltage (> |2| V) stopped the flight without a delay of response. When initiation pulse trains were applied during flight, they lowered flight power without stopping the flight (Fig. 4). We do not yet know if both these developments (flight initiation with pulse trains and the modified throttle response) apply also to Cotinis texana. We additionally identified a new turning stimulus. Stimulation of the optic lobes with 0.8 V and 100 Hz pulse trains elicited turns towards the lobe stimulated (Fig. 5). Importantly, these results indicate that all flight controls including flight initiation, cessation, throttling and turning can be performed by using only brain-implanted neural stimulators.
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