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ABSTRACT
We demonstrate a MEMS-actuated aerodynamic control surface integrated with a piezoresistive force-sensing platform and fabricated in a simple 3-mask silicon-on-insulator (SOI) process. This 47-mg actuator/sensor system generates 0.25 mN of aerodynamic lift force in 23 m/s airflow while operating at 40V, with a rotational displacement of 11 degrees, and a slew rate of 100 degrees/s. This is the first use of an electrostatic inchworm motor to actuate an aerodynamic control surface and generate lift.

INTRODUCTION
Small unmanned aerial systems (sUAS) and micro-air-vehicles (MAVs) have demonstrated useful applications such as search and rescue, aerial photography, crop inspection and biopsy, and industrial chimney inspection [1]–[3]. Scaling these systems down to the pico-air-vehicle (PAV) realm, where dimensions and masses less are than 5 cm and 500 mg, will improve energy consumption, decrease cost, increase ensemble density, and increase data granularity of unmanned aerial systems [3].

Recent advances in mesh networking, MEMS technology, and novel propulsion methods are increasing the feasibility of PAVs [3]. Some of these PAVs include flapping wings, hovering ionocrafts, and potentially ion jet planes [3]–[5]. These vehicles will require millimeter-scale control surfaces in order to control their flight.

Some MEMS control surfaces used arrays of MEMS actuators to manipulate airflow over a centimeter-scale to meter-scale delta wing [6]–[8]. These MEMS arrays aren’t suitable for PAVs in their current form because their array size is too large. In [9], Wood et al. developed miniature piezoelectric actuators that were eventually used to actuate the ailerons on a 2 gram microglider [10]. Millimeter-scale control surfaces that are suitable for PAVs will resemble control surfaces like these.

In addition to piezoelectric actuators, electrostatic inchworm motors are also suitable for millimeter-scale control surfaces. Inchworm motors are easy to integrate with transmissions and mechanisms by using simple silicon-on-insulator (SOI) fabrication processes [11]. In MARSS 2017, we reported the design and fabrication of a millimeter-scale MEMS control surface using electrostatic inchworm motors, but did not demonstrate its ability to generate aerodynamic forces [12].

This control surface could be improved by an integrated force measurement system. Integrated force sensing eliminates the need for complicated and cumbersome external force measurement systems, and it enables force feedback applications. Integrated force measurements can be used to improve microbotic control systems. For example, B. Yang et al. used simulated motor force outputs along with machine learning and dynamic simulations of a microrobotic hexapod to design an optimized hexapod gait [13]. Integrated motor force sensors will be necessary in order to evaluate and tune control schemes like these when they are implemented on a physical microrobot.

Several piezoresistive and capacitive force sensors for microrobotic applications have been developed [14]–[16]. The systems in [15] and [16] both were fabricated in an SOI process compatible with an SOI inchworm motor system. Xu et al. integrated a capacitive force sensor with an electrostatically-actuated microgripper, which demonstrated the integration of force sensors with actuator systems in SOI processes [17].

**Figure 1: The MEMS control surface consists of an inchworm motor, a 2x4 mm² SOI device layer silicon airfoil, a rotary airfoil slot, and a piezoresistive half-bridge strain sensor. Six wire bonds are used to electrically connect the strain sensor and the motor. The silicon airfoil is inserted into the rotary airfoil slot and stands vertically out of plane from the rest of the device. The strain sensor measures generated lift force.**

This paper describes the design of a MEMS control surface actuation system integrated into a piezoresistive force sensor using a simple SOI process. The SOI process included a 550 µm single-crystal silicon (SCS) substrate, a 2 µm oxide layer, a 40 µm SCS device layer, and a metallization layer. The process steps were a substrate deep reactive ion etch (DRIE), a device-layer DRIE, a metal deposition, and a sacrificial oxide etch.

**THEORY AND DESIGN**
**Aerodynamics and Airfoil Design**
Most aircraft use control surfaces such as ailerons, elevons, and rudders to control their trajectories. For thin airfoil theory, where $F_l$ is lift force, $\rho$ is the density of air, $V$ is airflow velocity, $C_l$ is the lift coefficient of the airfoil, $A$ is airfoil area, and $\alpha$ is the angle attack of the airfoil, with $\alpha < 15$ degrees [18]:

$$ F_l = \frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 2\pi \alpha A $$

The control surface uses inchworm motors and rotational linkages to rotate a 2x4 mm² device-layer silicon airfoil to change its angle of attack [12]. The airfoil is fabricated in 40-micron thick device layer silicon using the SOI process. As a result of the airfoil’s aspect ratio, thin airfoil theory should be an appropriate model. The estimated lift force generated at 23 m/s is 2.8 mN.

Previous simulations showed that control surfaces generating ~10 mN of lift force are sufficient for controlling a millimeter-scale rocket [3].

**MEMS Mechanism**
The MEMS control surface consists of electrostatic inchworm motors and rotary joints to actuate a rotary airfoil slot (Figure 2), which are fabricated in the 40-µm device layer.
mechanism. This mechanism guides the vertically-oriented airfoil into this slot as it is inserted. During airfoil insertion, cantilever springs align and secure the airfoil.

In the previous design iteration of this device, airfoil assembly was a significant challenge and decreased the yield of the device assembly process. A self-aligning airfoil slot was designed to avoid this problem. Instead of inserting the fin into the slot from above, the fin is inserted form the side, while spring-loaded mechanisms align and secure the airfoil (Figure 3). A small amount of epoxy was used to permanently fasten the airfoil into the slot. This improvement decreased the failure rate of this assembly step to zero.

**Integrated Force-Sensing Platform**

The motor, mechanism, and airfoil are fabricated into a platform that has integrated SOI half-bridge strain gauges (Figure 4). When aerodynamic forces are applied to the airfoil, the strain sensor beam deflects and the strain gauge registers a change in voltage. The integrated force sensing platform allowed us to easily measure the aerodynamic forces generated by the airfoil and could enable force feedback control schemes in future PAVs.

The motor, mechanism, and airfoil are fabricated into a platform that has integrated SOI half-bridge strain gauges (Figure 4). When aerodynamic forces are applied to the airfoil, the strain sensor beam deflects and the strain gauge registers a change in voltage. The integrated force sensing platform allowed us to easily measure the aerodynamic forces generated by the airfoil and could enable force feedback control schemes in future PAVs.

**AERODYNAMIC PERFORMANCE**

**Aerodynamic Performance of Static Fin**

The theoretical performance of the airfoil was compared to actual performance by an experiment where the strain sensor was manually rotated with an attached airfoil. The results of this experiment are in Figure 5. Airfoil lift force depended linearly on angle of attack for small angles. Lift force was not quadratically related to airflow speed.
Active Actuator Aerodynamic Performance

The next experiment measured the amount of aerodynamic force the MEMS control surface could generate while running in airflow. Once placed in 23 m/s airflow, the airfoil was periodically actuated while the strain sensor measured lift force. Figure 6 shows the device setup in this experiment.

Low frequency drift was present in the measurement signal, which required signal processing to remove. Force measurements were extracted by comparing force measurements at times when the fin was actuated to times when the fin was at rest (Figure 7). The measured periodic force signature correlated with fin deflection only occurs when both the fin is moving and the control surface is in 23 m/s airflow, showing that the force measurement is due to aerodynamic force generated by the fin. The average measured lift force was 0.25 mN, which was less than the predicted amount.

SYSTEMS INTEGRATION

Integrated Rocket System

These MEMS control surfaces will be used to create a pencil-sized autonomous rockets. In order to do that, we developed a process to assemble four control surfaces using standard wirebonding techniques and flexible printed circuit boards (PCBs) (Figure 8). Once the control surfaces are mounted on the PCB, it is wrapped around a custom fuselage fabricated by an inkjet 3D printer (Stratasys Objet260 Connex3).

The inchworm motors require 45V – 110V to operate, which poses a power supply challenge at small scales. We designed a portable 90V power supply system using a commercially-available boost-converting integrated circuit (Linear Technologies LT4382), which has a 3mm x 3mm footprint. This system has demonstrated the ability to run a control surface for an hour on a single-cell lithium ion battery. This board was 3.8 cm x 1.5 cm and weighed 2 g. Figure 10 shows an assembled pencil sized rocket including one control surface, battery, and control electronics (Figure 9).

Island Buckle Joint for Out-of-Plane Stability

Preventing out-of-plane forces from dislocating the silicon mechanisms requires manually gluing a grid of device-layer silicon on top of the rotary pin joint and the securing it with epoxy. This process is laborious and can potentially damage the device beyond repair. In order to avoid this assembly step, we designed a integrated SOI mechanism that prevents out-of-plane dislocation. This mechanism replaces rotary joint in the control surface. Figure 10 illustrates the operation of this island buckle joint. Inchworm motors were able to rotate the joint to half of the rotation range of the original rotary joint.
CONCLUSION

We demonstrated the ability of a MEMS-actuated aerodynamic control surface integrated with a piezoresistive force-sensing platform to generate aerodynamic force up to 0.25 mN. Compared to similar scale piezoelectric control surfaces, this device required 5x lower operating voltage and produced 5x more rotational displacement [10]. The assembly-friendly features added to the control surfaces permit mounting the control surface onto a pencil-sized rocket and will allow for control of the rocket.
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